RCRA DOCKET ASSIGNMENT

RCRA	10 2014	0173		
Enforcement Action	2015	0011 I got a new Docker # 1	because it 1	
CAFO	Compliance Order	ORC Concurrence (UM Attorney Initia	ls & Date	
⊠ ESP CAFO □	Referral	Required (not ESA) JV CB 8 22		
Complaint	Self-Disclosure	Sina it is the t	IVEL SSA FUT	
Соприл		3/14/1/15/14/1	113/ 201 (6)	
RCRA Identification Number	: AK518			
Case Name: Soldotna Y Chev				
Name of Respondent(s): Mark				
Regional Technical Contact:		Phone # 8293		
Regional Attorney: Chris Bellovary Phone # 2723				
Facility FULL Name: Soldotr				
Facility Address: 44024 Sterli				
City: Soldotna	State: AK Zip: 996	669		
Tribal Entity Business?	□ N On Tribal Re	· 0	TV DI	
Tribal Entity Business? Y Multi-Media			Y N	
Enforcement Action?		Small Business: EPA's Small Business Compliance Policy defines a small business as "a person, corporation, partnership or other entity		
Emorcement Action:	that employs 100	or fewer individuals (across all facilities and		
		d by the small business)." This policy further states that employees should be considered as full-time equivalents		
e		is, including contract employees."		
If Multi-Media, What Other Pro	ogram Laws?			
	78. u.i. 2 u i i i			
Is this enforcement action a re-	sult of an inspection?	\boxtimes Yes If yes, date of inspection: $6/16/14$	4	
	•			
National Priority: 🗌 Y 🔀 N.	If yes, what type?			
nforcement action type:				
RCRA 9003H4 AO for Corrective Action (UST) Federal Facility Y N				
RCRA 9003H6 Cost Recovery Action (US1)				
RCRA 9006 AO for Co	mp and/or Pen (UST)			
6				
	ase check the appropr			
RCRA 9003 UST Release Detection Substance	tion, Prevention, Correct	tion Regulations - Subtitle I - Corrective Action H	lazardous	
	-ti Dti Ct	dan Bandadana Galada I. Ganada W. A. B.		
		tion Regulations - Subtitle I - Corrective Waste Pe		
		tion Regulations - Subtitle I - Regulatory Hazardo		
	1,,,	tion Regulations - Subtitle I - Regulatory Petroleu		
RCRA 9003[C][3]-[4] UST Release Detection, Prevention, Correction - Subtitle I - Corrective Action Petroleum RCRA 9003[C][3]-[4] UST Release Detection, Prevention, Correction - Subtitle I - Corrective Action Hazardous				
Substance	tase Detection, Preventio	on, Correction - Subtitle I - Corrective Action Haz	ardous	
	ease Detection Prevention	on Correction - Subtitle I Pegulatory Hazardous	Substance	
RCRA 9003[C][3]-[4] UST Release Detection, Prevention, Correction - Subtitle I - Regulatory Hazardous Substance RCRA 9003[C][3]-[4] UST Release Detection, Prevention, Correction - Subtitle I - Regulatory Petroleum				
	case Detection, Fieveillio	ni, Correction - Subtitue 1 - Regulatory Petroleum		

Documentation of tank release detection for July, August, and release detection (documentation of automatic line leak detected line tightness tests already provided)				
Proposed Penalty: \$ 10,390				
* Case summary: (The information you would put in this section what you might put in a press release or the OECA Weekly reamounts or violations but general information about the Case Su	oort. Please do not annotate specific penalty			
On, 2014, Region 10 filed an Expedited Settle with Mark Rozak, the owner and operator of underground Chevron, in Soldotna, AK. The ESA requires Soldotna Y violations, including failure to provide release detection for pressurized piping with an automatic line leak detector. S submit documentation of release detection for tanks and p	ment Agreement and Final Order (ESA) storage tanks (USTs) at Soldotna Y Chevron to pay a \$10,390 penalty for 4 tanks and piping and failure to equip oldotna Y Chevron is also required to			
Environmental Justice Indicators: CTRL+Click to follow this link-Under the terms of the revised EJ Technical Directive (reissued April civil regulatory and Superfund enforcement cases "initiated" starting Aunder EJ.	, 2013) the following information applies to EPA			
EJ Table 1: EJSCREEN Flag (Manual) (Select one)	1. 11 (AZEC) E L ID. 1			
 YES If selected, proceed to EJ Table 2 & select the applicable "YES Enhanced Review" response NO If selected, proceed to EJ Table 2 & select the applicable "YES/NO Enhanced Review" response 				
2 11 defected, proceed to 25 Table 2 de defect the a	product 125/1/0 Emarced 16/16/1 Tesponso			
EJ Table 2: Enhanced Review for Potential EJ Concerns (Select of				
YES Enhanced Review - Potential EJ Concern Found	→ If selected, proceed to EJ Table 3			
YES Enhanced Review - Potential EJ Concern Not Found				
NO No Enhanced Review > If you selected No in Table 1 and > If selected, skip EJ Tables 3 & 4				
you do not have any EJ concerns, select No here. If you do ha				
concerns despite the No score from table 1, you can request an enhanced review based on your knowledge of the facility/area				
ennanced review based on your knowledge of the facility/area				
EJ Table 3: Basis of EJ Determination (Select all that apply based	on EJ review then proceed to EJ Table 4)			
Community self-identification				
X EJSCREEN data → Pre-selected for R10; do not change				
EPA knowledge of community/location (including inspector observation)				
Other federal government knowledge of community/location				
Public input				
State/local/tribal government knowledge of community location	n			
EJ Table 4: Explanation of Basis for Potential EJ Concern Findin "Exempt")	g (Select applicable "R10 Tier 1/2" response or			
R10 Tier 1 Review: Potential EJ Concern Found	R10 Tier 2 Review: Potential EJ Concern Found			
R10 Tier 1 Review: Potential EJ Concern Not	R10 Tier 2 Review: Potential EJ Concern Not			
	Found			
Explanation: For Tier 1 / 2 Review, paste the Explanation p	rovided to you by the R10 EJ Coordinator into the			
text box below (ICIS is limited to 1,000 characters)				

Relief sought (Note all that applies)